Generalized spectra and applications to finite distributive lattices

 $\label{eq:mark} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Mark Sioen} \mbox{(Vrije Universiteit Brussel} - \mbox{VUB}) \\ \mbox{on joint work with Wendy Lowen} \ \& \ \mbox{Wouter Van Den Haute} \end{array}$

A day on Pointfree Topology – in honour of Prof. Jorge Picado CMUC – Universidade de Coimbra September 12, 2023

Outline of the talk

- Motivation
- Topological frames
- ▶ **F**-frames and **F**-spectra
- Main Theorem for today
- Some consequences for free lattices

Motivation

- Functor $\mathcal{O} : \textbf{Top} \to \textbf{Frm}^{op}$ represents spaces as frames.
- Left adjoint Σ : **Frm**^{op} \rightarrow **Top** reconstructs the space:

 $\Sigma \mathcal{O} X \cong X$ whenever X is sober.

- $\mathbb{S} := (\{0,1\}, \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{0,1\}\})$
- For any space X, $\mathcal{O}X \cong \text{Top}(X, \mathbb{S})$.
- For any frame L,

 $\Sigma L = \operatorname{Frm}(L, 2) \cong \{a \in L \mid a \text{ is meet-irreducible}\}.$

Motivation

► There are many other ways to construct frames induced by topological spaces, e.g. with P = ([0,∞], T_{Scott})

$$\mathbf{Top}(X,\mathbb{P})) = \{ f : (X \to \mathbb{P}) \mid f \text{ continuous} \}.$$

But the spectrum of Top(X, P) is X×]0,∞], not X!
Can we 'mod out']0,∞]?

Motivation

Question

How much/which topological information about a topological space X can be captured by the function frame $\text{Top}(X, \mathbb{F})$ (endowed with pointwise order), and how does this depend on the nature of \mathbb{F} ?

Topological frames

Definition

Let $\mathbb F$ be a frame endowed with a topology $\mathcal T_{\mathbb F}.$ We call $(\mathbb F,\mathcal T_{\mathbb F})$ a *topological frame* provided that the operations

$$\wedge : \mathbb{F} \times \mathbb{F} \to \mathbb{F} : (a, b) \mapsto a \wedge b$$

and

$$\sup_{i\in I}: \mathbb{F}^I \to \mathbb{F}: (a_i)_{i\in I} \mapsto \sup_{i\in I} a_i$$

are continuous.

E.g. any chain endowed with the Scott topology is a topological frame.

Topological frames

Definition

Let $(\mathbb{F}_1, \mathcal{T}_1)$ and $(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathcal{T}_2)$ be topological frames. A map $f : \mathbb{F}_1 \to \mathbb{F}_2$ is called a *topological frame morphism* if $f : (\mathbb{F}_1, \mathcal{T}_1) \to (\mathbb{F}_2, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is continuous and $f : \mathbb{F}_1 \to \mathbb{F}_2$ is a frame homomorphism.

We call **TopFrm** the category with topological frames as objects and topological frame morphisms as morphisms.

Topological frames

Proposition

The diagram



commutes, the functors \mathcal{U}_{Top} and \mathcal{U}_{F} are topological, \mathcal{U}_{Frm} is monadic and has a left adjoint, \mathcal{U}_{T} has a left adjoint and \mathcal{U} is faithful and has a left adjoint.

F-frames and **F**-spectra

Let X be a topological space and \mathbb{F} a topological frame.

- $\Gamma_X : \mathbb{F} \to \mathbf{Top}(X, \mathbb{F}) : a \mapsto c_a$ is a frame homomorphism
- ▶ Denote **Frm**_𝔅 as the comma category 𝔅/**Frm**: 𝔅-frames

►
$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} : \mathbf{Top} \to \mathbf{Frm}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathsf{op}}$$
 with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(X) = \Gamma_X$ and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(\varphi): \operatorname{Top}(Y, \mathbb{F}) \to \operatorname{Top}(X, \mathbb{F}): f \mapsto f \varphi$$

Let $L = (L, \gamma_L : \mathbb{F} \to L)$ be an \mathbb{F} -frame.

► Endow Spec_F(L) = Frm_F(L, F) with the initial topology for the source

$$(\operatorname{ev}_I : \operatorname{Frm}_F(L, \mathbb{F}) \to \mathbb{F} : f \mapsto f(I))_{I \in L}$$

▶ We obtain a functor $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{F}} : \operatorname{Frm}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{op}} \to \operatorname{Top}$ which is left adjoint to \mathcal{O}_{F} .

$\mathbb F\text{-spatial}$ frames and $\mathbb F\text{-sober}$ spaces

Definition

► L is F-spatial if

$$\varepsilon_L: L o \mathsf{Top}(\mathsf{Frm}_{\mathbb{F}}(L, \mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F}): I \mapsto (f \mapsto f(I))$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb F\text{-}\mathsf{frames}.$

```
► X is F-sober if
```

```
\eta_X : X \to \operatorname{Frm}_{\mathbb{F}}(\operatorname{Top}(X, \mathbb{F}), \mathbb{F}) : x \mapsto (f \mapsto f(x))
```

is a homeomorphism.

If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{S}$, everything reduces to the classical setting.

More on **F**-sobriety

proposition

X is \mathbb{F} -sober if and only if $(f : X \to \mathbb{F})_{f \in \operatorname{Top}(X,\mathbb{F})}$ is initial and point-separating, and $\operatorname{Frm}_{\mathbb{F}}(\operatorname{Top}(X,\mathbb{F}),\mathbb{F}) = \{\operatorname{ev}_{X} \mid x \in X\}.$

proposition

If X is Hausdorff and some conditions on \mathbb{F} hold, then X is \mathbb{F} -sober.

Some examples

- $\mathsf{Spec}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathsf{Top}(\mathbb{S},\mathbb{P})) \simeq \mathbb{P}$
- $\mathsf{Spec}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathsf{Top}(\mathbb{S}, \mathbf{n})) \simeq \mathbf{n}$
- Spec_P(Top(3, P)) $\simeq \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} \mid \alpha \geq \beta\}$
- ▶ Spec_n(Top(3, n)) \simeq {(α, β) ∈ n × n | $\alpha \ge \beta$ }
- $Spec_3(Top(S \times S, 3)) \simeq 3 \times 3$
- ► ...

Some conlusions

Conclusion 1

A sober space is generally not $\mathbb F\text{-sober}.$

Conclusion 2

The space

$$\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathbb{F}}(L)$$

in general fails to be \mathbb{F} -sober.

Conclusion 3

The functor

```
\mathsf{Spec}_{\mathbb{F}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}:\mathbf{Top}\to\mathbf{Top}
```

in general fails to be idempotent and does not give rise to an $``\mathbb{F}\text{-sobrification''}\,.$

Consider the following adjunctions (D. Zhang and Y. Liu):

$$\mathsf{Top} \xrightarrow[\ell_{\mathbb{F}}]{} \mathbb{F} \operatorname{-Top} \xrightarrow[\ell_{\mathbb{F}}]{} \frac{\Omega_{\mathbb{F}}}{\underset{\mathrm{pt}_{\mathbb{F}}}{\perp}} \mathsf{Frm}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathsf{op}}$$

- ω_FX is F-fuzzy sober + some condition on X implies that X is F-sober,
- the notions differ,
- ► hence *F*-sobriety also differs from fuzzy sobriety in the sense of A. Pultr and S. Rodabaugh.

Some questions

- For F₁, F₂ in a class of topological frames with additional properties, can we find a general description for Spec_{F2}(**Top**(X, F₁))? Or just for F₁ = F₂?
- ▶ For what conditions on F₁, F₂ does

 $X \text{ Hausdorff} \Rightarrow X \mathbb{F}_1\text{-sober} \Rightarrow X \mathbb{F}_2\text{-sober} \Rightarrow X \text{ sober}$

hold?

What can be said about the forgetful functors Frm_F → Frm and Frm_F → Set? Some known facts about exponential objects:

- for X sober: X is exponential in **Top** \Leftrightarrow X is locally compact,
- ► for X locally compact: the canonical topology on Top(X, Z) is the compact-open topology.

Theorem

Let X be a sober exponential topological space, \mathbb{F} the topological frame $\mathbb{F} = \mathbf{Top}(Y, \mathbb{S})$ for some sober exponential space Y. Then $\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(X))$ and $\operatorname{Top}(Y, X)$ are homeomorphic, where the latter space carries the compact-open topology.

Sketch of proof:

By the exponential law,

```
\mathsf{Top}(X,\mathbb{F}) = \mathsf{Top}(X,\mathsf{Top}(Y,\mathbb{S})) \simeq \mathsf{Top}(X \times Y,\mathbb{S})
```

as spaces.

• Order taken to be pointwise and hence:

$$\mathsf{Top}(X, \mathsf{Top}(Y, \mathbb{S})) \cong \mathsf{Top}(X \times Y, \mathbb{S})$$

as topological frames.

Main theorem

It takes a bit of work to show that

 $\mathcal{O}: \mathbf{Top}(Y, X \times Y) \to \mathbf{Frm}(\mathbf{Top}(X \times Y, \mathbb{S}), \mathbf{Top}(Y, \mathbb{S})): f \mapsto \mathcal{O}(f)$

with $\mathcal{O}(f)(h) = hf$ is a bijection.

Again using exponentiality, deduce that

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Top}}(Y,X imes Y)\simeq\operatorname{\mathsf{Top}}(Y,X) imes\operatorname{\mathsf{Top}}(Y,Y).$$

Show that the bottom line is a homeomorphism and restrict:

Applications to $(\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathbb{F}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}})^n$

Lemma

Let X, Y be topological spaces with X finite. Then the compact-open topology on **Top**(X, Y) coincides with the initial topology for the source

$$(ev_x : \mathbf{Top}(Y, X) \to Y)_{x \in X}.$$

Proposition

Let L, F be finite distributive lattices endowed with the Scott topology. Then **Top**(L, F) =**Ord**(L, F) and the Scott topology and the initial topology on **Top**(L, F) determined by the source $(ev_l :$ **Top** $(L, F) \rightarrow F_l)_{l \in L}$, coincide.

Application 1: $(\text{Spec}_3\mathcal{O}_3)^n(\mathbb{S})$

Definition

A monotone Boolean function of *n* variables is a non-decreasing map $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ where $\{0,1\}^n$ is endowed with the pointwise order. The set of monotone Boolean functions of *n* variables is denoted by M_n .

• Note that
$$M_n = \mathbf{Ord}(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbb{S}) = \mathbf{Top}(\mathbb{S}^n, \mathbb{S}).$$

Since

$$\mathbf{Top}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{3}) = \mathbf{3} \to \mathsf{Top}(\mathbb{S},\mathbb{S}) : \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} \mapsto (\mathbf{0},\mathbf{0}) \\ \mathbf{1} \mapsto (\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}) \\ \mathbf{2} \mapsto (\mathbf{1},\mathbf{1}) \end{cases}$$

constitutes an isomorphism of posets and hence of spaces, we obtain that $\mathbf{3} \cong \mathbf{Top}(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{S})$ as topological frames.

Application 1: $(\text{Spec}_3\mathcal{O}_3)^n(\mathbb{S})$

Known fact

The lattice of monotone Boolean functions of n variables is isomorphic to the free distributive lattice on n generators.

Theorem

 $(\operatorname{Spec}_3\mathcal{O}_3)^n(\mathbb{S})$ and M_n are homeomorphic and hence isomorphic as lattices.

Sketch of Proof:

- We will give a proof of the homeomorphism claim by induction.
- Applying Theorem our main theorem with X = S,
 𝔽 = 3 = Top(𝔅,𝔅) we can see that this is true for n = 1.
- ► Assume that our claim holds up to some n 1 (n ≥ 2). Applying our main theorem with X = Top(Sⁿ⁻¹, S) and F = 3 = Top(S, S), and the exponential law we get:

Application 1: $(\text{Spec}_3\mathcal{O}_3)^n(\mathbb{S})$

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{Spec}_{3}\mathcal{O}_{3})^{n}(\mathbb{S}) &= \operatorname{Spec}_{3}\mathcal{O}_{3}((\operatorname{Spec}_{3}\mathcal{O})^{n-1}(\mathbb{S})) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Spec}_{3}\mathcal{O}_{3}(\operatorname{Top}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1},\mathbb{S})) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Top}(\mathbb{S},\operatorname{Top}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1},\mathbb{S})) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Top}(\mathbb{S}^{n},\mathbb{S}) \simeq M_{n}, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of this part.

Since all (finite) lattices in the proof are endowed with the Scott topology, the order isomorphism follows.

Application 2: $(\text{Spec}_{\diamond}\mathcal{O}_{\diamond})^n(\mathbb{S})$

- Denote the antichain on n elements by A_n and the free Boolean algebra on n elements by B_n.
- Consider $\mathbb{F} = \diamond = \mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{S} \cong \mathbf{Top}(A_2, \mathbb{S}).$

Lemma

$$\mathbf{Top}(A_{2^{n-1}},\diamond) \cong \mathbf{Ord}(A_{2^{n-1}},\diamond) \cong B_n.$$

Proof:

Since the discrete and the Scott topology on A_n coincide it follows that $\mathbf{Ord}(A_{2^{n-1}},\diamond) = \diamond^{2^{n-1}}$.

Application 2: $(\text{Spec}_{\diamond}\mathcal{O}_{\diamond})^n(\mathbb{S})$

Theorem

 $(\operatorname{Spec}_{\diamond} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond})^n(\mathbb{S})$ and B_n are homeomorphic and hence isomorphic as lattices.

Sketch of Proof:

- We will give a proof of the homeomorphism claim by induction.
- Applying Theorem our main theorem with X = S,
 𝔽 = ◊ = Top(A₂, 𝔅) we can see that

$$\mathsf{Spec}_\diamond\mathcal{O}_\diamond(\mathbb{S}) = \mathsf{Top}(A_{2^1}, \mathbb{S}) = \diamond = \mathsf{Top}(A_{2^0}, \diamond),$$

so the claim is true for n = 1.

Assume that our claim holds up to some n − 1 (n ≥ 2). Applying our main theorem with X = Top(A_{2ⁿ⁻²}, ◊) and F = ◊ = Top(A₂, S), and the exponential law we get:

Application 2: $(\text{Spec}_{\diamond}\mathcal{O}_{\diamond})^n(\mathbb{S})$

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{Spec}_{\diamond} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond})^{n}(\mathbb{S}) &= \operatorname{Spec}_{\diamond} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond}((\operatorname{Spec}_{\diamond} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond})^{n-1}(\mathbb{S})) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Spec}_{\diamond} \mathcal{O}_{\diamond}(\operatorname{Top}(A_{2^{n-2}}, \diamond)) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Top}(A_{2}, \operatorname{Top}(A_{2^{n-2}}, \diamond)) \\ &\simeq \operatorname{Top}(A_{2^{n-1}}, \diamond) \simeq B_{n}, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of this part.

 Since all (finite) lattices in the proof are endowed with the Scott topology, the order isomorphism follows.

References

- J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, G.E. Strecker, Abstract and Concrete Categories. The Joy of Cats, In: Pure and Applied Mathematics, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1990.
- G. Birkhoff, Lattice Theory, American Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ, Providence, Rhode Island, 1967.
- E. Colebunders, G. Richter, An Elementary Approach to Exponential Spaces, Applied Categor. Struct. 9 (2001), 303-310.
- B.J. Day, G.M. Kelly, On topological quotient maps preserved by pullbacks or products, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 67, (1970), 553-558.
- R. Fidytek, A.W. Mostowski, R. Somla, A. Szepietowski, Algorithms counting monotone Boolean function, Information Processing Letters 79 (2001), 203-209.
- G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M.W. Mislove and D.S. Scott, Continuous lattices and domains, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- K.H. Hofmann, M.W. Mislove, The spectral theory of distributive continuous lattices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 246 (1978), 285-310.
- J. Isbell, General function spaces, products and continuous lattices, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 100 (1986), 193-205.
- D. Kleitman, On Dedekind's Problem: The number of montone Boolean functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21(3) (1969), 677-682.
- W. Lowen, M. Sioen and W. Van Den Haute. Frames of continuous functions, Top. Appl 273 (2020), 106974.
- W. Lowen, M. Sioen and W. Van Den Haute. Generalized spectra and applications to finite distributive lattices, J. Pure Appl. Alg. (accepted for publication)
- J. Picado, and A. Pultr. Frames and Locales. Topology Without Points, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhuser/Springer, Basel AG, Basel, 2012.
- J. Picado, and A. Pultr. Seperation in Pointfree Topology, Birkhuser/Springer, Basel AG, Basel, 2021.



Happy birthday Jorge!